

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/895,307	06/29/2001	Hong Jiang	42390P10579	2386	
8791 7590 0501/2008 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			CZEKAJ, DAVID J		
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2621		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/01/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/895,307 JIANG, HONG Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DAVID CZEKAJ 2621 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)\(\times\) Claim(s) 1-3.5-8.10-14.16-19.21-25.27.28.30-33.35.36.38 and 41 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3.5-8.10-14.16-19.21-25.27.28.30-33.35.36.38 and 41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) ____ __ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

On pages 13-15, applicant argues that Wu fails to disclose an enhancement residual addition applies only to a final base layer output after a base layer clipping operation. While the applicant's points are understood, the examiner respectfully disagrees. See for example Wu figure 20. There Wu illustrates a base layer clipping operation 632. The output of this clipping operation is fed into a buffer 634 and then a motion compensator 622. The output is then fed to the adder of the enhancement layer. Hence, the enhancement residual addition is applied only to a final base layer output. Therefore the rejection has been maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-14, 16-19, 21-25, 27-28, 30-33, 35-36, 38, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al. (6700933), (hereinafter referred to as "Wu").

As for Claim's 1, 2, 6, 7, 11, 12, 16, 17, 21-25, 27-28, 30-33, 35-36, 38, and 41, Wu et al. teaches a method and system for encoding and decoding a video sequence of pictures by generating a first body of data, that he calls the base layer and lower quality video, as well as a second body of data that is

Art Unit: 2621

dependent upon the video sequence and a reconstructed portion of the first body of data, this he calls this the enhancement layers and higher quality video (Wu: figures 1, 9, and 20; Column 3, lines 17-26; Column 6, lines 48-51; Column 7, lines 17-20). He teaches reusing the circuitry for generating the first body of data that generates the second body of data in Figure 9. The output of Reference numbers 208 and 210 are inputs for the second body of data. Figure 20 shows the decoding operations on the first and second bodies of data. Figure 20 also shows the combing of the first and second bodies of data which is also useful in reusing the circuitry for decoding the first and second bodies of data at Reference points 626 and 622. The output of these reference points shows how they are then inputs to the second body of data. Figure 20 also shows how the output of Reference point 632 combines the clipped data of the first and second bodies of data where the reconstructed portion of the first body of data includes data that have been clipped (Wu: Column 21, lines 37-41; see also Figure 20). Wu further discloses an enhancement residual addition applies only to a final base layer output after a base layer clipping operation (Wu: figure 20). While Wu fails to disclose the enhancement processing is independent of any intermediate data in the base layer, Wu does disclose that the enhancement or higher quality layers are predicted form at least the same or lower quality layer. but not necessarily the base layer (Wu: column 7, lines 17-20). The examiner notes that in the cases where multiple enhancement layers are used, as show in Wu's figures 4-5, the enhancement layers can be processed without using

Art Unit: 2621

information from the base layer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the enhancement processing independent of data in the base layer in order to obtain an apparatus that operates more efficiently by not relying on data from previous calculations.

As for Claim's 3, 8, 14 and 19, Wu et al. teaches that the units of the second bodies of data include a block of video data (Wu: Column 10, lines 14-28).

As for Claim's 5, 10, 13 and 18, Wu et al. teaches a method and instructions to determine the difference between the source video sequence and the reconstructed portion of the first body of data (Wu: figures 20 and 21; Column 21, lines 8-15; Column 22, lines 10-17).

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2621

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID CZEKAJ whose telephone number is (571)272-7327. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on (571) 272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dave Czekaj/ Art Unit 2621

/Mehrdad Dastouri/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621 Art Unit: 2621